



Why Only 4 kg of NP During PQNK Transition?

Understanding Plant Demand, Fertilizer Availability, Soil Biology and the Return to Natural Nutrient Supply

The Farmer's Question

A farmer transitioning from conventional agriculture to PQNK asked a very reasonable question:

If conventional farming recommends bags of fertilizer per acre, how can only about 4 kg of NP be enough during PQNK transition?

The question arises because conventional agriculture has trained farmers to think about plant nutrition primarily in terms of the **quantity of fertilizer applied**. A larger crop is assumed to require a larger quantity of fertilizer, and a small fertilizer dose therefore appears inadequate.

But this compares kilograms applied rather than asking the more important questions:

How much mineral nutrition does the plant actually require? How much of the fertilizer applied remains available long enough for the plant to use? And what happens to the living soil when large concentrations of soluble fertilizer are repeatedly introduced?

PQNK approaches the question from these fundamentals.

1. First Understand Where Plant Mass Comes From

A plant does not build most of its body from fertilizer or even from soil minerals.

In the PQNK plant-mass framework, approximately **95.917% of plant mass is derived from carbon, hydrogen and oxygen originating from air and water**. Approximately **4% is nitrogen**, which in a functioning natural system ultimately originates from atmospheric nitrogen through biological processes. The remaining approximately **0.083% represents the mineral elements derived from the geological soil reserve**.

These figures are intended to make one fundamental point clear:

The plant is primarily an atmospheric and water-built biological structure—not a structure built from bags of fertilizer.

Phosphorus, potassium, sulfur, calcium, magnesium and the many trace elements are essential. Their importance is enormous, but the physical quantities required are small relative to the total mass of the plant.

The conventional error is therefore not that minerals are unimportant. It is the assumption that because minerals are essential, they must continuously be supplied in large manufactured quantities.

PQNK distinguishes **importance from quantity**.

2. The Soil Already Contains the Mineral Reserve

Natural soil is not an empty container waiting to be filled with NPK.

It contains enormous geological reserves of minerals. Under the PQNK framework, the problem is generally not the total absence of these elements. The problem is that much of the reserve exists in **stable or sustainable forms that are not immediately water-soluble and plant available**.

This distinction is crucial.

A mineral can be present in the soil and still not be available to the root at the moment the plant needs it.

Therefore, the central question becomes:

Who converts the stored mineral reserve into the water-soluble forms that plants can absorb?

The answer is the **living soil system**.

Roots, microorganisms, fungi and their biological interactions form the conversion mechanism between the soil's mineral reserve and the growing plant.

3. PQNK Does Not Consider Biological Soil a “Slow-Release Fertilizer”

This distinction must be made carefully.

A slow-release fertilizer is still a manufactured nutrient source designed to release nutrients progressively over time.

A functioning biological soil works differently.

It is better understood as an:

On-Demand Nutrient Conversion System

The soil contains nutrients in sustainable mineral reserves.

The plant creates demand.

The biological system converts the required nutrients from sustainable forms into **water-soluble, plant-available forms**.

The roots absorb them.

As the plant consumes those nutrients and continues growing, biological conversion continues.

The sequence is:

Sustainable mineral reserve → Plant demand → Biological conversion → Balanced water-soluble nutrients → Root uptake → Further demand → Further conversion

This is fundamentally different from putting a large amount of soluble fertilizer into the root zone in advance and hoping that it remains there until the plant needs it.

4. The Wheat, Flour and Bread Analogy

A simple household example makes the principle easier to understand.

A family may store a sack of wheat for months. Wheat is the sustainable reserve.

But the family does not convert the entire sack into cooked bread on the first day.

A smaller quantity is taken from storage and milled into flour. Only the required flour is kneaded into dough. Only the amount of bread required for consumption is baked.

Why?

Because the stored wheat is stable, while the final consumable product is perishable.

Between the stored wheat and the bread is a **conversion function**.

Remove that function and the wheat can remain in the house while the family still has no bread to eat.

The same principle helps explain soil nutrition.

The soil may contain a huge mineral reserve, but if the biological conversion system has been damaged, those minerals cannot necessarily reach the plant in the required available form.

Conventional agriculture responds by bringing in the equivalent of ready-made food: **soluble fertilizer**.

PQNK responds differently:

restore the conversion system.

5. Why the Conversion System Became Weak

Repeated tillage, prolonged inundation, bare overheated soil and intensive agrochemical use can disrupt the biological environment upon which nutrient cycling depends.

When soil biology is impaired, natural nutrient conversion becomes less effective.

The farmer then observes deficiency in the crop.

More fertilizer is applied.

Because the crop has become increasingly dependent on externally supplied soluble nutrients, the farmer interprets this as evidence that the soil itself cannot feed the crop.

PQNK sees the problem differently:

The warehouse may still contain the material. The conversion and delivery system has been damaged.

PQNK transition therefore aims to restore that system through no soil disturbance, no inundation, permanent biological cover, biodiversity, correct soil moisture management and living roots.

But restoration does not occur instantly.

This is where the **4 kg NP transitional intervention** becomes important.

6. Why Not Apply a Full Bag “Just to Be Safe”?

Because **fertilizer applied is not the same as fertilizer absorbed by the plant.**

A conventional farmer may place a large quantity of soluble nutrient into the field at one time. The crop, however, can absorb nutrients only according to its physiological demand and the conditions around its roots.

The entire application does not necessarily remain conveniently available until the plant eventually requires it.

Different nutrients behave differently.

Nitrogen may be subject to gaseous losses, leaching, immobilization and other transformations depending on its form and field conditions.

Phosphorus can react with soil constituents and progressively move into less immediately plant-available forms.

Other nutrients may similarly be adsorbed, precipitated, immobilized, leached or otherwise removed from the immediately available pool.

Consequently:

The farmer may apply a bag, but the plant does not necessarily receive a bag.

A substantial one-time application can therefore be inefficient because the nutrient supply is poorly synchronized with plant demand.

7. Chelation Demonstrates the Importance of Availability

Modern fertilizer technology itself recognizes that nutrient quantity alone does not determine nutritional effectiveness.

Chelation, particularly for certain micronutrients, can help protect nutrients from reactions that would otherwise reduce their solubility and accessibility to roots under particular soil conditions.

Why is this important to the argument?

Because it demonstrates that:

One kilogram of nutrient that remains accessible to the plant is not nutritionally equivalent to one kilogram that rapidly becomes unavailable.

The farmer should therefore stop evaluating nutrition only by the weight printed on the fertilizer bag.

The relevant questions are:

How much becomes available?

For how long?

At what concentration?

At what stage of crop demand?

And how much is actually absorbed?

8. Slow-Release Fertilizers Demonstrate the Importance of Timing

Slow- and controlled-release fertilizers address another weakness of large soluble applications: **nutrient availability and plant demand may not occur at the same time.**

Instead of exposing the root zone to the entire soluble nutrient quantity immediately, these formulations attempt to extend nutrient availability over a longer period.

Again, the lesson for PQNK is not that biological soil operates as a slow-release fertilizer.

It does not.

The lesson is that even fertilizer technology acknowledges a fundamental principle:

Nutrient delivery is more efficient when availability is better synchronized with plant requirement.

PQNK takes this principle further by restoring biological nutrient conversion according to plant demand rather than depending permanently on manufactured nutrient delivery.

9. There Is Another Reason Not to Apply a Large Dose: Soil Life

During PQNK transition we are not merely trying to keep the crop thrive.

We are trying to **restore the living soil system that will ultimately make routine fertilizer unnecessary.**

Large concentrations of soluble fertilizers can increase the salt concentration and osmotic pressure of the soil solution and can create conditions unfavorable to roots and sensitive components of the soil biological community.

This creates a contradiction.

If the objective is to restore the organisms responsible for natural nutrient conversion, it makes little sense to repeatedly expose their environment to unnecessarily high concentrations of soluble fertilizer.

Therefore the transitional dose must satisfy **two requirements simultaneously**:

1. Correct the immediate plant deficiency.

2. Protect the recovering biological system.

Within the PQNK transitional protocol, approximately **4 kg NP per acre delivered with furrow irrigation water** is used as a limited corrective dose intended to remain within this biologically cautious range while meeting an observed crop requirement.

This is why PQNK does not recommend a bag merely because conventional practice recommends a bag.

The objective is not maximum fertilizer application. It is minimum necessary intervention while biology recovers.

10. The 4 kg NP Is a Bridge — Not the PQNK Nutrient System

This point is essential.

PQNK does not recommend routine 4 kg NP fertilization as its permanent fertility programme.

The 4 kg NP intervention belongs to the **transition period**.

When a crop visibly shows that the recovering soil system is not yet supplying sufficient nutrition, a small quantity can be introduced with furrow irrigation water to support the crop.

If genuine deficiency persists, the intervention may be repeated according to the PQNK transitional protocol.

The objective is to prevent crop loss **without rebuilding fertilizer dependency**.

The farmer is therefore helping the plant cross a temporary biological gap while the soil system recovers.

11. Why the Fertilizer Is Applied With Water

Method matters as much as quantity.

Broadcasting a large fertilizer dose and leaving it exposed until irrigation is not equivalent to delivering a small corrective dose through irrigation water.

PQNK uses the irrigation stream to distribute the limited NP quantity through the furrow system.

The purpose is to place a **diluted, immediately accessible nutrient supply** into the active root environment rather than creating localized high concentrations.

The intervention therefore becomes:

small → diluted → targeted → timely → temporary.

That is very different from:

large → concentrated → blanket → routine → dependency-forming.

12. The Farmer Must Diagnose Before Applying

The 4 kg figure must never become another calendar prescription.

PQNK does not replace:

“Apply one bag”

with:

“Apply 4 kg.”

That would merely create another fertilizer schedule.

The transitional intervention is used when the crop demonstrates a genuine nutritional need while the biological system is recovering.

The correct sequence is:

Observe the crop → Diagnose the problem → Correct water and aeration first → Determine whether nutritional support is actually required → Apply the minimum corrective dose if necessary → Observe the response.

A yellow plant is not automatically a fertilizer-deficient plant.

Poor aeration, waterlogging, damaged roots, excessive moisture, heat stress and other root-zone problems can also restrict nutrient uptake even when nutrients are present.

Adding more fertilizer to a plant whose roots cannot function does not repair the underlying problem.

13. The Real Transition Is From Supply to Conversion

This is the larger scientific change that farmers need to understand.

Conventional fertilizer agriculture asks:

How much nutrient should we put into the soil?

PQNK asks:

How do we restore the soil system so that the mineral reserve is converted into balanced plant nutrition according to demand?

These are fundamentally different approaches.

The first continually supplies the final product.

The second restores the system that produces the final product.

That is why fertilizer requirements should decline as biological functioning improves.

The success of PQNK transition is therefore not demonstrated by discovering a better fertilizer programme.

It is demonstrated when **routine fertilizer intervention is no longer necessary**.

14. The Four Reasons Behind the 4 kg Recommendation

The entire argument can be reduced to four principles.

First: plant mineral demand is small in mass terms.

Minerals are indispensable, but they represent only a very small proportion of total plant mass.

Second: fertilizer application is not fertilizer utilization.

A large soluble dose does not remain completely plant-available throughout the crop cycle. Timing, chemical transformations and losses matter.

Third: concentration matters.

An unnecessarily large dose can create an unfavorable chemical environment for the soil life that PQNK is trying to restore. The transitional dose must support the plant without undermining biological recovery.

Fourth: the ultimate nutrient source is the functioning soil system.

PQNK seeks to restore biological conversion of sustainable mineral reserves into proportionately balanced, water-soluble nutrients according to plant demand.

Conclusion: Feed the Plant Without Preventing the Soil From Learning to Feed It

The question “**How can 4 kg replace bags of fertilizer?**” contains an understandable but misleading assumption.

The 4 kg does **not** replace the bags.

It performs an entirely different function.

It is a **temporary corrective bridge** used during biological transition.

The farmer is no longer attempting to provide the crop's entire nutrition through manufactured soluble fertilizer. The farmer is restoring the biological machinery through which the soil itself converts its enormous sustainable mineral reserve into plant-available nutrition.

When temporary deficiency appears, the crop is supported with a small, carefully delivered dose. The dose is kept low enough to avoid unnecessarily high concentrations that could damage recovering soil life. It is applied when needed rather than treating the field as a storage place for an entire season's soluble fertilizer.

Meanwhile, the real work continues beneath the surface:

roots establish, microorganisms recover, biological relationships rebuild, mineral conversion resumes and plant demand begins once again to regulate nutrient supply.

That is the destination of PQNK.

Do not measure plant nutrition by how many bags enter the field. Measure it by whether the plant receives balanced nutrition when it needs it while the living soil becomes capable of supplying that nutrition itself.

Applying PQNK without understanding nature’s operating system will not deliver abundance, stability, or nutrient density.

*PQNK (pronounced “picnic”)—the regenerative & sustainable **Pristine Organic Farming System**—is grounded in **Natural Ecosystem Science**. This science employs perennial biodiversity, protects soil with organic mulch, and avoids practices such as water inundation, tillage disturbance, and leaving soil bare.*

*It is the **process** that matters most. PQNK is a pristine production system designed not merely for yield, but for optimal, balanced output—where quality, sustainability, and ecological harmony emerge from a self-regulated, zero-external-input methodology.*

Connect & Resources

Pedaver.Com

Facebook: www.Facebook.Com/Pedaver

YouTube (PQNK): <https://www.youtube.com/@pedaverpqnk3167/videos>

YouTube: <http://www.youtube.com/@aasifsharif>

PDF Knowledge Papers: <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1iadwV6mdUPyrUIpB3oRfNv6DLiackaUL?usp=sharing>

Email: pedaver@gmail.com

WhatsApp: +92 320 677 6666