

PQNK REVIEW

Wheat Hybrid and Organic Wheat Through the PQNK Lens

A critical review of the OneAlif paper Wheat Hybrid Vs Organic

September 2026

Paper reviewed community.onealif.com/health/wheat-hybrid-vs-organic

The paper raises useful questions about modern wheat, pesticide residues, genetic modification, water consumption and food quality. Its strongest contribution is that it refuses to judge wheat only by tonnes of grain per hectare. It asks what kind of wheat is being produced, how it is produced and what consequences follow for human health and the environment.

Its central comparison is nevertheless incomplete. Hybrid describes genetics or breeding, whereas organic describes a production standard. These are not opposite categories. A sound comparison must identify the wheat genotype and then examine how that genotype performs under conventional, certified organic and PQNK production environments.

The Main PQNK Finding

The paper places most responsibility for wheat quality on the seed. PQNK begins from a different scientific position: the seed is potential, while the soil is the production system. Root aeration, soil moisture, mineral availability, microbial associations, temperature, plant population, soluble nitrogen concentration and pesticide exposure all influence tillering, grain filling, kernel weight, protein formation, disease response and final food quality.

A wheat variety therefore cannot be judged independently of its production environment. Conventional trials normally evaluate varieties in disturbed soil with concentrated fertiliser, herbicides and comparatively high plant populations. Their results do not establish how the same genetics will behave in an aerated, permanently covered and undisturbed PQNK soil.

Modern Wheat Is Not One Category

The paper repeatedly describes modern semi dwarf wheat as hybrid wheat. This terminology can mislead the reader. Most commercial bread wheat varieties are stable, self pollinating cultivars. Breeders create variation by crossing parental lines and then repeatedly self pollinate and select the descendants until stable varieties are produced. This differs from commercial F1 hybrid seed, such as hybrid maize, which normally must be purchased for

each crop. Commercial hybrid wheat exists, but adoption remains limited because wheat is naturally self pollinating and hybrid seed production is difficult and expensive. [1, 2]

The paper should distinguish the following categories:

- Modern semi dwarf wheat developed through conventional breeding
- Stable commercial wheat varieties
- Commercial F1 hybrid wheat where it is genuinely used
- Genetically modified wheat such as HB4
- Traditional varieties and farmer maintained populations
- Conventional, certified organic and PQNK production systems

These categories describe different questions and should not be merged into a single contrast between hybrid and organic wheat.

Genetics and the Production Environment

The same variety can express differently when its root environment changes. PQNK protects the water air balance, retains previous roots and pores, maintains organic mulch and supports mycorrhizae and other soil organisms. These conditions change the plant's access to water and biologically transformed minerals without changing its genetic identity.

This is why PQNK seed selection begins in the standing PQNK crop. Seed should be retained from plants that show greater vigour than surrounding plants, productive tillering, strong grain filling, desirable grain size and colour, appropriate maturity and superior final quality. Selection in the target environment gradually aligns the seed population with that environment.

Chemical Dependence Is a System Property

The sections on herbicides, fertilisers, pesticides and pre harvest desiccation identify a genuine weakness of industrial wheat production. Chemical dependence, however, belongs to the production system and is not an unavoidable property of modern wheat genetics. A semi dwarf variety does not inherently require glyphosate, and a traditional variety is not automatically free of chemical use or poor irrigation.

Pre harvest desiccation deserves particular scrutiny because treatment occurs close to food harvest, but its prevalence differs among countries and climates. It should not be presented as universal wheat practice. The European Union renewed glyphosate approval until December 2033 while prohibiting its use for desiccation to control harvest timing or optimise threshing. [3]

The paper also presents glyphosate carcinogenicity too conclusively. IARC classified glyphosate as probably carcinogenic, while the United States Environmental Protection Agency has maintained that it is unlikely to be carcinogenic when used according to label requirements. These findings arise from different assessment approaches and should be reported as a regulatory disagreement rather than a settled universal conclusion. [4]

PQNK does not need to resolve that disagreement before acting. If a functioning production ecosystem can grow wheat without routine herbicides, pesticides and synthetic fertilisers, unnecessary exposure and ecological disturbance should be eliminated.

Organic Certification Is Not a Complete Ecosystem

The paper correctly acknowledges that studies comparing organic and conventional wheat produce mixed results. Some report higher phenolics, fibre or zinc under organic management; others report lower protein, yield or concentrations of particular minerals. PQNK interprets this inconsistency as evidence that organic is too broad a category to predict biological or nutritional performance.

A certified organic field may still be repeatedly tilled, left bare between crops, irrigated excessively, managed as a monoculture or dependent on transported manure and permitted purchased inputs. Certification controls prohibited and permitted materials. It does not necessarily establish an uninterrupted soil root microbe relationship.

PQNK evaluates the field through four operating rules and their consequences:

- No inundation or flooding of the bed
- No soil disturbance or tillage
- Continuous organic mulch cover
- Active encouragement of biodiversity

The system also retains living and previous roots, protects controlled traffic, manages irrigation by the ball test and relies on biological transformation and transport of minerals. Lower performance in some certified organic trials therefore cannot be used to predict PQNK wheat performance.

Health Claims Need Stronger Boundaries

The paper accurately recognises celiac disease, wheat allergy and non celiac wheat sensitivity as real conditions. A systematic review estimated biopsy confirmed celiac disease at about 0.7 percent globally, while self reported wheat or gluten sensitivity is considerably more common. [5, 6]

The evidence becomes weaker when the paper adopts the broad Wheat Belly argument that wheat is the principal cause of obesity and that gliadin is harmful to everyone. Current research does not support treating all wheat consumption as exposure to a universal toxin. A scientific review concluded that whole wheat consumption could not be linked to the general rise in obesity. [7]

Even among people reporting non celiac gluten sensitivity, gluten may not always be the responsible component. In one controlled challenge study, fructans produced more symptoms than gluten in participants who identified themselves as gluten sensitive. [8]

The claim that ancient wheat is always safer is also too simple. Reviews report that some ancient wheats contain more total protein, gluten and celiac active epitopes than modern

bread wheat. This does not remove their agricultural or culinary value, but tolerance cannot be inferred merely from the age of a variety. [9, 10]

The responsible health conclusion is specific. People with celiac disease must avoid gluten medically. Wheat allergy requires diagnosis and management. Non celiac wheat sensitivity is real but diagnostically complex. People should be tested for celiac disease before beginning a gluten free diet, and population wide causal claims require stronger evidence.

Processing Changes the Food

The paper gives too little attention to processing. Whole or minimally processed grain is not nutritionally identical to refined flour even when both originate from the same variety. Milling removes or reduces bran, germ, fibre, minerals and bioactive compounds. Flour particle size, fermentation, added sugar, industrial fats and portion size further alter the final food.

A reliable assessment must therefore follow the full chain from genotype and field environment through grain composition, storage, milling, fermentation, final food and total diet. Moving directly from wheat genetics to a health outcome leaves several major variables uncontrolled.

Water Management Requires a System Change

The paper recommends precision irrigation and cites potential savings of 18 to 38 percent. This is useful within conventional irrigation management, but it does not question the structure of the field. PQNK approaches water through Soil Moisture Management rather than irrigation scheduling alone.

Permanent raised beds, irrigation confined to furrows, slow application to approximately half furrow height, the ball test, permanent mulch, undisturbed pores and deep roots protect the water air balance. The crop also uses rain, dew, atmospheric humidity and capillary moisture. PQNK field evidence records crop specific irrigation savings of approximately 77 to 92 percent compared with local flood irrigation. The purpose is not simply to deliver less irrigation water. It is to retain useful moisture without excluding oxygen from the root zone.

HB4 Wheat Is a Separate Question

The paper is justified in examining HB4 wheat, but HB4 must not be used to characterise all modern wheat. HB4 is a genetically engineered trait associated with drought response and herbicide tolerance. It is not synonymous with semi dwarf wheat, conventional breeding or commercial hybrid wheat.

The United States deregulated the HB4 trait in 2024, creating a pathway for possible cultivation, but that decision did not mean immediate widespread commercial planting. [11, 12] PQNK asks whether soil structure, aeration, moisture retention, root depth and biological resilience have been restored before genetic engineering is presented as the primary solution to drought stress.

A drought trait located in the seed cannot by itself repair bare soil, plough pan, shallow roots, interrupted mycorrhizal networks or badly managed water.

Overall Assessment

The paper is valuable as an accessible compilation and as a warning against evaluating wheat only by yield. It correctly directs attention to pesticide residues, chemical dependence, water consumption, genetic change and food quality. Its usefulness would increase substantially if it separated genetic categories from production systems and applied stronger evidentiary limits to its health claims.

Its principal weaknesses are:

- It treats modern wheat as universally hybrid.
- It compares a genetic category with a production certification.
- It relies heavily on controversial population wide health claims.
- It moves too readily from association to causation.
- It generalises regional pesticide practices.
- It treats certified organic production as the main ecological alternative.
- It underestimates soil ecology and the water air balance.
- It gives insufficient attention to processing.

The PQNK conclusion is neither that all modern wheat is harmful nor that every traditional variety is superior. Wheat quality cannot be judged from genetics alone. The proper comparison is between defined wheat genotypes grown under clearly described production systems. Those systems should then be measured for yield, production cost, water use, root development, grain filling, nutritional density, chemical residues, baking quality and human health outcomes.

PQNK provides the missing production science. Healthy wheat begins with moist and aerated soil, permanent cover, undisturbed structure, living roots, biodiversity, careful plant selection and freedom from unnecessary chemical intervention. The seed supplies potential; the living soil system determines how much of that potential the crop can express.

Sources

1. Gupta PK and others. Hybrid wheat past present and future. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 2019. [Source](#)
2. FAO. Wheat seed production and farmer saved seed in self pollinating crops. [Source](#)
3. European Commission. Glyphosate approval and restrictions including prohibition of desiccation uses. [Source](#)
4. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Glyphosate regulatory history and human health assessment. [Source](#)
5. Singh P and others. Global prevalence of celiac disease systematic review and meta analysis. 2018. [Source](#)
6. Shiha MG and others. Global prevalence of self reported non coeliac gluten and wheat sensitivity. [Source](#)
7. Brouns FJPH and others. Does wheat make us fat and sick. Journal of Cereal Science. 2013. [Source](#)
8. Skodje GI and others. Fructan rather than gluten induces symptoms in patients with self reported non celiac gluten sensitivity. Gastroenterology. 2018. [Source](#)

- 9.** Aufiero VR and others. Ancient diploid wheats and non celiac wheat sensitivity. 2022. [Source](#)
- 10.** Brouns F and others. Do ancient wheats contain less gluten than modern bread wheat. 2022. [Source](#)
- 11.** United States Wheat Associates. Statement on USDA deregulation of the HB4 drought tolerant trait. 2024. [Source](#)
- 12.** Farm Progress. Drought resistant wheat clears regulatory hurdle but is not yet commercially available. 2024. [Source](#)

pedaver.com