PQNK Knowledge Paper

The Greatest Climate Solution Is Not More Trees

It Is Changing How We Farm

Why every acre of farmland can become a carbon sink, a water reservoir, and a climate stabilizer.

Author: PQNK Team
Founder: Asif Sharif, Paedar Qudratti Nizam Kashatqari (PQNK)
Date: July 2026

ABSTRACT

Whenever climate change is discussed, one solution is repeated almost universally: plant more trees. Tree-planting campaigns have become national policy, international commitment, and public movement alike; Pakistan itself earned international recognition when the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa government's Billion Tree Tsunami programme planted more than a billion trees, restoring some 350,000 hectares of forest and degraded land and becoming the first Bonn Challenge pledge to reach its restoration goal, an achievement later expanded into a national Ten Billion Tree Tsunami effort (World Economic Forum, 2018; Bonn Challenge).

Trees are unquestionably important. They protect biodiversity, stabilize watersheds, moderate local climate, provide habitat, and store enormous quantities of carbon. Yet an important question is rarely asked: what happens if a country plants millions of trees while hundreds of millions of acres of agricultural land continue losing soil carbon every year? The answer is straightforward. The country gains carbon in one place while losing it in another.

PQNK proposes that climate restoration cannot depend on forestry alone. It must include agriculture. Every crop plant performs the same fundamental biological process as every forest tree: both absorb atmospheric carbon dioxide, both manufacture sugars through photosynthesis, and both transport carbon into the soil. The difference is not the plant. The difference is what humans do to the soil after the plant has grown.

1. EVERY GREEN PLANT USES THE SAME ALGORITHM

Whether it is wheat, maize, rice, sugarcane, a vegetable crop, a grass, a shrub, or a giant forest tree, every green plant follows the same natural algorithm. Leaves absorb carbon dioxide from the atmosphere, roots absorb water, and sunlight supplies the energy; through photosynthesis, the plant manufactures the carbohydrates that become the building blocks of life. Nature does not run one version of photosynthesis for forests and another for crops. The biochemical process is identical, and the atmosphere makes no distinction between a wheat leaf and a forest leaf. Every green leaf, whatever it happens to be attached to, is a solar-powered carbon-capture system.

2. CARBON DOES NOT STOP IN THE PLANT

Photosynthesis produces far more than grain, fruit, or timber. Plants continuously transport a substantial share of the carbon they capture below ground, into roots, root exudates, mycorrhizal fungi, soil microorganisms, and organic residues, where soil organisms convert that fresh plant carbon into stable soil organic matter (Jackson, Lajtha, Crow, Hugelius, Kramer, & Piñeiro, 2017). Healthy soil becomes, in effect, a long-term carbon reservoir. That underground carbon is also what builds the sponge-like structure allowing soil to absorb rainfall, retain moisture, and support biological fertility. Carbon is not merely stored below ground. It builds the entire underground ecosystem that everything else in this paper depends on.

3. THE CRITICAL QUESTION

The important question is not whether crop plants can capture carbon. They clearly can, by the same biochemistry as any tree. The important question is whether that carbon remains in the soil after harvest, and the answer depends almost entirely on how the soil is managed afterward. This is where agriculture and forests begin to differ, not in the plant, but in what happens to the ground beneath it.

4. THE FOREST NEVER PLOUGHS

After leaves fall, the forest does nothing to the ground beneath them, and that is precisely the point. The soil remains covered, roots remain in place, fungi continue their work, earthworms continue tunnelling, and rain infiltrates freely. Carbon stays protected within stable soil aggregates, undisturbed, year after year, and the forest floor slowly and continuously improves itself. No machinery disturbs the underground architecture. The forest is, in the most literal sense, always building soil.

5. WHAT HAPPENS IN MOST AGRICULTURAL FIELDS

A crop grows. Photosynthesis captures carbon, roots feed soil organisms, and organic matter begins accumulating exactly as it would beneath a tree. Then harvest arrives, and immediately afterward the soil is cultivated: ploughs, discs, rotavators, cultivators. Each pass breaks apart the soil aggregates that had been physically protecting that organic matter, exposing it to oxygen and accelerating its microbial breakdown; a portion of the carbon so recently drawn from the atmosphere returns to it as carbon dioxide. The underground biological architecture is repeatedly dismantled before it has time to mature, and the whole process restarts the following season.

It is worth being precise about what the research actually shows here, because the honest picture is more useful to a farmer than the simple one. Global meta-analyses of paired field trials find that the carbon lost when natural or long-fallow soil is first brought into cultivation is substantial, and that this loss occurs largely regardless of whether the land is subsequently tilled or left untilled; switching an already-cultivated field to no-till, on its own, does not reliably rebuild what was lost (Luo, Wang, & Sun, 2010). What does reliably rebuild it is pairing undisturbed soil with permanent living cover: a global meta-analysis of cover-cropped no-till systems shows soil organic carbon gains averaging around 7 percent over comparably managed fields left bare or thinly covered between crops, with the largest gains where cover is maintained continuously and root diversity is highest (Joshi et al., 2023). The lesson is not that tillage is a minor detail. It is that stopping tillage alone is not enough. The soil has to be kept both undisturbed and continuously alive, which is exactly the combination the forest already provides for free.

6. THE MISSED OPPORTUNITY

For more than a century, climate policy has largely viewed forests as carbon sinks and cropland as food-producing land, two separate categories serving two separate purposes. PQNK suggests that this distinction is incomplete. Every acre of farmland has the potential to become both a food production system and a carbon storage system at once. The objective is not to convert farms into forests. The objective is to manage farms according to the same biological principles that already make forests successful.

7. WHY AGRICULTURAL LAND MATTERS MORE THAN MOST PEOPLE REALISE

Most countries possess far more agricultural land than forest, and Pakistan is a stark example. World Bank data puts Pakistan's agricultural land at roughly 47 percent of total land area, close to 37 million hectares, against a forest area of under 5 percent, roughly one-tenth as much (World Bank Open Data, 2023). This means that even a modest increase in soil carbon storage across every cultivated acre would represent a national carbon reservoir several times larger than anything achievable through forestry alone. Instead of relying only on new tree plantations, the country could transform millions of existing crop acres into living carbon sinks while continuing, uninterrupted, to produce food. This opportunity is largely absent from the current climate conversation.

8. TREE PLANTING ALONE CANNOT SOLVE THE PROBLEM

Tree planting remains valuable, and the Billion Tree Tsunami programme's recognition by the Bonn Challenge, the World Bank, and the IUCN was well earned (World Economic Forum, 2018). Trees should be protected wherever forests naturally belong. Watersheds must be restored, riverbanks stabilized, mountain ecosystems reforested, and urban areas given the shade and cooling that trees provide. PQNK fully supports every one of these efforts. But tree planting addresses only one part of the landscape. If agricultural soils continue losing carbon through repeated disturbance, flooding, bare ground, and declining biology, national carbon gains remain limited to

whatever fraction of the land forestry alone can reach. Climate policy therefore needs both more forests and better farming, not a choice between them.

9. PQNK CHANGES THE EQUATION

PQNK asks farmers to stop treating soil as a medium that merely holds a plant upright. Instead, soil becomes a living biological ecosystem, managed according to four permanent principles: no soil disturbance, no inundation, permanent biological cover, and maximum biodiversity. This is the exact combination the research in Section 5 identifies as necessary, not tillage cessation alone, but undisturbed soil paired with continuous living cover and diverse rooting.

In practice, permanent raised beds protect soil structure, mulch protects the surface, roots remain in place year-round, and soil organisms continue building stable organic matter uninterrupted. Water infiltrates naturally instead of running off or pooling. Carbon remains underground instead of returning to the atmosphere every season. Every crop cycle improves the production system rather than degrading it, and food production and climate restoration occur simultaneously, on the same acre, at the same time.

10. FROM CARBON CAPTURE TO CLIMATE STABILITY

The benefits extend well beyond carbon accounting. Higher soil organic matter delivers greater rainfall infiltration, larger underground water storage, reduced runoff, less erosion, cooler soil temperatures, greater drought resilience, improved nutrient cycling, lower irrigation demand, and healthier crops, all as consequences of the same underlying change. Carbon is therefore not only a climate metric. It is the foundation of soil fertility, water management, and farm profitability alike, which is precisely why it is worth managing deliberately rather than treating as an afterthought.

11. EVERY FARMER CAN BECOME A CLIMATE STEWARD

Climate change is usually presented as a problem only governments and international institutions can solve. PQNK places a powerful part of the solution directly into the hands of farmers instead. Every crop planted without destroying the soil beneath it helps rebuild the underground carbon bank a little further, and every acre managed this way becomes part of the national climate solution, not in theory, but in the same season it is farmed. Instead of separating food production from environmental restoration, PQNK combines them in a single act: the farmer produces food while simultaneously restoring the soil, conserving water, and removing carbon dioxide from the atmosphere.

12. A NEW NATIONAL STRATEGY

Future climate policy should not ask only how many trees were planted. It should also ask how many agricultural acres stopped being cultivated by disturbance, how many acres now remain permanently covered, how much soil carbon increased, how much additional rainfall infiltrated, how much irrigation water was saved, how much groundwater recharge improved, and how much production cost was reduced. These are the measurements that actually determine whether a country is rebuilding its natural capital, and none of them appear in a simple count of saplings planted.

CONCLUSION

Tree planting is not the wrong answer. It is simply an incomplete one. The greatest climate opportunity lies not only in restoring forests but in restoring the biological function of every cultivated acre. Every green plant captures atmospheric carbon; the question is whether human management allows that carbon to remain safely stored underground, or releases it back into the atmosphere through repeated soil disturbance. Forests already know the answer. PQNK applies the same natural principles to agriculture.

When farming works with nature instead of against it, every acre becomes more than a field. It becomes a carbon sink. A water reservoir. A living ecosystem. A climate stabilizer. And a source of abundant, nutritious food.

The future of climate restoration will not be achieved by planting trees alone. It will be achieved when every cultivated acre functions as intelligently as the forest itself, capturing carbon, rebuilding soil, storing water, and producing food without destroying the living ecosystem beneath our feet.

KEY TAKEAWAYS

One Algorithm, Not Two: photosynthesis is biochemically identical in a wheat leaf and a forest leaf; the atmosphere draws no distinction between crop carbon and tree carbon.

The Forest's Advantage Is Management, Not Biology: forests keep soil undisturbed and continuously covered by default; farms only do so by deliberate design.

No-Till Alone Is Not the Fix: paired-trial meta-analyses show tillage cessation by itself does not reliably rebuild lost soil carbon; permanent living cover combined with undisturbed soil is what drives measurable gains (Luo, Wang, & Sun, 2010; Joshi et al., 2023).

Cropland Dwarfs Forest Land: Pakistan farms roughly ten times more land than it forests, making soil carbon in agriculture a far larger lever than tree planting alone (World Bank Open Data, 2023).

PQNK's Four Principles Match the Research: no disturbance, no inundation, permanent cover, and maximum biodiversity are precisely the combination the literature associates with durable soil carbon gains.

Carbon Storage and Farm Profitability Move Together: higher soil organic matter means better infiltration, lower irrigation demand, and greater drought resilience, benefits a farmer feels directly, not only a metric a government reports.

REFERENCES

Bonn Challenge. Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Billion Tree Tsunami Afforestation Project.

Jackson, R. B., Lajtha, K., Crow, S. E., Hugelius, G., Kramer, M. G., & Piñeiro, G. (2017). The ecology of soil carbon: pools, vulnerabilities, and biotic and abiotic controls. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 48, 419-445.

Joshi, D. R., Sieverding, H. L., Xu, H., Kwon, H., Wang, M., Clay, S. A., Johnson, J. M., Thapa, R., Westhoff, S., & Clay, D. E. (2023). A global meta-analysis of cover crop response on soil carbon storage within a corn production system. Agronomy Journal, 115.

Luo, Z., Wang, E., & Sun, O. J. (2010). Can no-tillage stimulate carbon sequestration in agricultural soils? A meta-analysis of paired experiments. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 139(1-2), 224-231.

World Bank Open Data. (2023). Agricultural land (% of land area) and Forest area (% of land area) — Pakistan.

World Economic Forum. (2018). Pakistan's Billion Tree Tsunami Is Astonishing.

PQNK — Paedar Qudratti Nizam Kashatqari

Connect & Resources

Facebook: www.Facebook.Com/Pedaver

YouTube (PQNK): https://www.youtube.com/@pedaverpqnk3167/videos

YouTube: http://www.youtube.com/@aasifsharif

PDF Knowledge Papers: https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1iadwV6mdUPyrUIpB3oRfNv6DLiackaUL?usp=sharing

Email: pedaver@gmail.com

WhatsApp: +92 320 677 6666